

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/813,741	03/31/2004		Alexander A. Krakovsky	<del></del>	5136
7590 03/23/2006			EXAM	EXAMINER	
John R. Ross				ROBERTS, DARIN	
P.O. Box 2138					
Del Mar, CA 92014				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3762	
			DATE MAILED, 03/22/2004	c	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u> </u>				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/813,741	KRAKOVSKY, ALEXANDER A.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Darin R. Roberts	3762				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IDENTIFY THE WAILING IDENTIFY THE WAIL	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC .136(a). In no event, however, may a re I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' te. cause the application to become AB	CATION.  pply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 l	November 2003.					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1955 C.D	. 11, 400 O.G. 210.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejectéd. 7)⊠ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers	ner.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to th						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ction is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document.	nts have been received.					
Copies of the certified copies of the pri     application from the International Bure	iority documents have been					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		received.				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3762

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

The examiner has noticed that no Information disclosure statement (IDS) was filed with the application filed on 11/05/2006. Please consider Including an IDS with your next correspondence.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-7 & 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims 1, 4, 5, & 9-11 are directed toward the claiming of structures being in contact with or implanted within the body, such claiming amounts to an inferential recitation of the body, which renders these claims non-statutory.

### Claim Objections

Claims 2, 4, 5, & 7 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form.

Art Unit: 3762

In reference to claims 2, 4, & 5, these claims do not and any further structure to the device thus failing to further limit the claim upon which they are dependent.

In reference to claim 7, claim 7 is redundant of Claim 6 because the location in which the stimulation is provided does not further limit the structure of the claimed device.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, & 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Gerber (US 20050113878 A1).

In reference to *claims 1, 2 & 8*, the Gerber publication teaches the use of an implantable stimulator (see abstract) inherently capable of being inserted beneath the skin of the user, due to the fact that it is implantable, the Gerber device is also capable of providing sexual stimulation (see abstract). The Gerber device comprises of a control device that is capable of providing pulses, such a device can be referred to as pulse generator (see pp. 0062). The Gerber publication also teaches a device that possesses an external control mechanism (see pp. [0073]), such a device is capable of being controlled by a lover or the

Art Unit: 3762

actual patient. The Gerber device also possesses a programmable processor to produce the desired pulse shapes (see pp. [0062]). The Gerber device possesses electrodes fully capable of being inserted into the epidural space of the individual's sacrum (see fig. 3, pp. [0097], & pp. [0116]), Gerber teaches the use of leads, which can be described an electrical conductors. Such conductors are capable of connecting to a pulse generator (see pp. [0081]).

In reference to *claims 4 & 5*, because both males and females possess a spinal chord the Gerber device is inherently cable of providing spinal chord stimulation to both men and women.

In reference to *claim 11*, though it is not explicitly stated, the use of such a device on human beings is implied in the Gerber publication (see fig 1).

Claims 1, 6, 7, 8 & 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Whitehurst et al. (US 6862479 B1).

In reference to claim 1, the Whitehurst patent teaches the use of a stimulator that provides stimulation to a user's sexual organs (see abstract) comprising of a stimulator inherently capable of being installed under the skin due to the fact that it is implantable (see abstract). The Whitehurst stimulator possesses a pulse generator (see column 4, lead line 12) as well as a receiver for receiving instructions capable of being sent by the user or the user's lover (see column 4, lead lines 58-62), a programmable processor controlling a pulse generator for sending the desired pulse shapes (see column 10, lines 62-67) and electrodes capable of being inserted into the epithelial space via the sacrum (see

Art Unit: 3762

column 5, lines 57-61 & Fig. 1, & fig. 2B). Whitehurst also teaches the use of an electrical conductor referred to as a lead connecting the pulse generator to an electrode (see column 8, lead lines 59-64).

In reference to *claims* 6 & 7, the Whitehurst device teaches providing electrical stimulation to the spinal chord of the user, as well as administering drugs to a patient's spinal chord to stimulate said spinal chord. The Whitehurst et al. patent inherently possesses a reservoir for administering drugs to a patient's spinal chord if it is capable of drug delivery.

In reference too *claims 8 & 11*, Whitehurst teaches correcting impotency in a male animal comprising the steps of surgically implanting a device under the skin of said animal (see abstract) and possessing a programmable electronic stimulator comprising an electrical pulse generator (see column 5, lines 7-14), and strategically implanting an electrode in a epidural region of said animal's sacrum (see column 4, lead lines 6-16). Whitehurst et al. also teaches providing an electrical connection between said pulse generator and said electrode (see column 4, lead lines 5-9) and providing an electronic control for controlling said stimulator for so as to produce erection in said male animal (see column 6, lines 49-59 & column 4, lead lines 30-37). Though the Whitehurst patent does not explicitly state that the animal to be stimulated is a human, the stimulation of such an animal is implied (see fig. 1)

Art Unit: 3762

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gerber (US 20050113878 A1).

In reference to *claim 3*, according to MPEP 2144.04 (B) the duplication of parts "has no patentable significance unless a new and unexpected result is produced". The Gerber publication teaches the use of one pulse generator as well as one lead (see pp. [0081]), but Gerber does not teach the use of multiple pulse generators in conjunction with multiple leads. However it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to employ the use of multiple pulse

Art Unit: 3762

generators and leads to allow for the stimulation of multiple sites at the same time.

Claims 9 & 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Whitehurst et al. (US 6862479 B1).

In reference to *claims 9 & 10*, the Whitehurst et al. device does not teach the spinal chord stimulation of domesticated or wild animals, however Whitehurst et al. does teach the stimulation of the human spinal chord and all vertebrates do possess a spinal chord.

Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to alter the Whitehurst et al. device to fit other vertebrates (such as wild and domesticated animals) for the purposes of testing.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Darin R. Roberts whose telephone number is (571) 272-5558. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am to 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela D. Sykes can be reached on (571) 272-4955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-9900.

Page 8

Application/Control Number: 10/813,741

Art Unit: 3762

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Darin Roberts
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 3762

D. R.

Jeffrey R Jastrzab Primary Examiner

3/20/06